

2723, South State Street, Suite 50 Ann Arbor, MI 48104 (866) 534-6177 LawCompany.com

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION
FILE NO.

and,))
Plaintiff)
v. LLC, and	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY
Defendants) JUDGMENT))

Defendants, LLC ('manufacture and more respectfully move this Court to grant summary judgment in their favor since there are no genuine issues of material fact and are entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

The totality of the Plaintiffs claims rests on the notion of a legally enforceable contract with and As the foregoing demonstrates, in addition to the absence of dispute as to any material facts, and are entitled to judgment as a matter of law since there was no legally enforceable contract, and even to the extent one exists, it is legally barred.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On February ,	wrote two checks. One to	LLP for
\$, ¹ and another, to	's son, and former	manager, in the

¹ Exhibit 1.



ARGUMENT

I. and are Entitled to Summary Judgment Because Plaintiffs
Cannot Substantiate Their Claims for Breach of Contract and Even Presuming
the Existence of a Contract, Their Claims are Barred by Law

A. Standard of Review

Under Rule 56(a) of the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure, "summary judgment is properly entered if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that any party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law." *Patterson v. Worley*, 828 S.E.2d 744, 747 (N.C. Ct. App. 2019). "In a motion for summary judgment, the evidence presented to the trial court must be admissible at trial and must be viewed in a light most favorable to the non-

² Complaint $\P\P$ 3, 6.

³ Exhibit 2.

⁴ Exhibit 3.

⁵ Exhibit 4.

⁶ Exhibit 2.



moving party." *Id.* Once the moving party establishes there is no triable issue of material fact, "the burden shifts to the nonmoving party to produce a forecast of evidence demonstrating specific facts, as opposed to allegations, showing that he can at least establish a prima facie case at trial." *Id.* Said another way, summary judgment is appropriate when an essential element of a plaintiff's claim is either non-existent or unsupported by evidence, or if a plaintiff cannot overcome an affirmative defense which would bar the claim. *Moody v. Able Outdoor, Inc.*, 169 N.C. App. 80, 84, 609 S.E.2d 259, 261 (2005).

B. Plaintiffs Fail to Demonstrate the Existence of a Valid Contract as a Matter of Law

Under North Carolina law, a breach-of-contract claim requires "(1) [the] existence of a valid contract and (2) breach of the terms of that contract." Poor v. Hill, 138 N.C. App. 19, 26, 530 S.E.2d 838, 843 (2000) (citing Jackson v. Carolina Hardwood Co., 120 N.C. App. 870, 871, 463 S.E.2d 571, 572 (1995)). To constitute an enforceable oral agreement, "the parties must express themselves in such terms that the Court can ascertain to a reasonable degree of certainty what they intended by their agreement." Thomas v. McMahon, No. 08 CVS 24887, 2015 NCBC LEXIS 67, at *26 (N.C. Super. Ct. June 23, 2015) (quoting F. Indus., Inc. v. Cox, 45 N.C. App. 595, 599, 263 S.E.2d 791, 793 (1980)). It must be clear there has been "a meeting of the minds," meaning "that the parties assent to the same thing in the same sense." Pike v. Wachovia Bank & *Tr. Co.*, 274 N.C. 1, 11, 161 S.E.2d 453, 462 (1968). "When there has been no meeting of the minds on the essentials of an agreement, no contract results." Creech v. Melnik, 347 N.C. 520, 527, 495 S.E.2d 907, 912 (1998). More relevant to the facts at issue here, to recover on a promissory note, "the party seeking relief must show execution, delivery, consideration, demand, and nonpayment." Kane Plaza Assocs. v. Chadwick, 126 N.C. App. 661, 664, 486 S.E.2d 465, 467 (1997) (citation omitted).



i. 's Loan Was Negotiable Instrument and Not a Contract

Under N.C. Gen. Stat. §25-3-104, a negotiable instrument is statutorily defined as (1) an unconditional promise or order to pay a fixed amount of money—with or without interest—(2) if it is payable to bearer or to order at the time it is issued or first comes into possession of a holder and is payable at a definite time. Here, _______, according to his own Complaint, agreed to loan Defendants ______ with an applicable interest rate of ______. Essential to this argument is the fact that apart Defendants' assurances, no other conditions were attached to this verbal understanding. In other words, this was an agreement to repay a loan plus interest.

Consequently, under well-established North Carolina law, ______ 's loan clearly amounted to a negotiable instrument, (and, specifically, a promissory note), and not a contract. Moreover, while not plead as such in ______ Complaint, its plain language confirms it was not a legally enforceable contract, but a negotiable instrument.

ii. The Absence of Any Other Conditions Apart from Repayment and Interest Confirms
Promissory Note Is Not a Contract Because it Lacks Consideration

To reiterate the argument raised above, in which loan amounts to a mere negotiable instrument, even a promissory note cannot be a legally enforceable contract absent adequate consideration. *Fairfield Harbour Prop. Owners Ass'n, Inc. v. Midsouth Golf, LLC*, 215 N.C. App. 66, 75, 715 S.E.2d 273, 282 (2011). "A bare promise, made without consideration, creates no legal rights and imposes no legal obligations." *Kingsdown, Inc. v. Hinshaw*, 2016 NCBC LEXIS 15, at *24 (N.C. Super. Ct. February 17, 2016) (quoting *Stonestreet v. S. Oil Co.*, 226 N.C. 261, 263, 37 S.E.2d 676, 677 (1946)); *see also Watson Elec. Constr. Co.*, 160 N.C.

⁷ Complaint ¶¶ 4-6.

⁸ Complaint ¶6.



App. at 655, 587 S.E.2d at 94 ("Consideration is the glue that binds parties together, and a mere promise, without more, is unenforceable."). At issue here is whether solon provided consideration, which "consists of any benefit, right, or interest bestowed upon the promisor, or any forbearance, detriment, or loss undertaken by the promisee." *McLamb v. T.P. Inc.*, 173 N.C. App. 586, 590, 619 S.E.2d 577, 581 (2005) ("[O]ur courts have held that consideration which may be withdrawn on a whim is illusory consideration which is insufficient to support a contract."); *see also Milner Airco, Inc. of Charlotte, N.C. v. Morris*, 111 N.C. App. 866, 870, 433 S.E.2d 811, 814 (1993) (holding contract unenforceable for lack of consideration because "while reciting consideration, [the contract] does not bind the employer to any promise").

Here, even if it were demonstrated Plaintiffs maintained a promissory note with or there is no evidence demonstrating they received any consideration for executing an otherwise nonexistent promissory note. *Bumgardner v. Groover*, 245 N.C. 17, 22, 95 S.E.2d 101, 105 (1956). Consequently, because the verbal promissory note at issue here lacks consideration, it is not a legally enforceable contract. Thus, the Plaintiffs' claims should be dismissed as a matter of law.

C. <u>Even if a Contract Had Existed, Plaintiffs' Claims are Barred by the Applicable</u>
Statute of Limitations

Even presuming a contract existed between Plaintiffs and/or or they failed to produce any evidence of when it was breached. In North Carolina, "[t]the statute of limitations for contracts . . . commences on the date the contractual promise is broken." *Duke Univ. v. St. Paul Mercury Ins. Co.*, 95 N.C. App. 663, 671, 384 S.E.2d 36, 41 (1989). *See also* N.C. Gen. Stat. § 1-52(1) (the limitations period "begins to run on the date the promise is broken"); *Glover v. First Union Nat'l Bank*, 109 N.C. App. 451, 455, 428 S.E.2d 206, 208 (1993) (same).



D. <u>Even if a Contract Existed, Plaintiffs' Claims are Barred by the Applicable Statute of Frauds</u>

"Generally, a promise to answer for another's debt falls within the statute of frauds and must be in writing to be enforceable."

v. Artisan 2510, Inc., 233 N.C. App. 107, 758

S.E.2d 482 (2014). It should also be signed by the party against whom the claim is made. N.C.

Gen. Stat. §22-1 (2013). A guaranty contract is subject to the parol evidence rule which "prohibits the consideration of the evidence as to anything which happened prior to or simultaneously with the making of a contract which would vary the terms of the agreement."

R.B. Cronland Bldg. Supplies, Inc. v. Sneed, 162 N.C. App. 142, 145-46, 589 S.E.2d 891, 893

6

⁹ Complaint ¶7



(2004) (internal citations omitted). "If a contract falls within the statute of frauds, the party against whom enforcement is sought may generally avoid enforcement if there is no written memorandum of that party's assent to the contract." *Plasma Ctrs. of Am., LLC v. Talecris Plasma Res., Inc.*, 222 N.C. App. 83, 89, 731 S.E.2d 837, 842 (2012).

There is no allegation in the Complaint as to how is liable to Plaintiffs' claim for the amount advanced to If the Plaintiffs allege that is a guarantor, he can avoid enforcement of a breach of contract and fraud claims since the Statute of Frauds mandates promise to pay another person's debt should be in writing. Plaintiffs admit they entered into a verbal agreement, and there was no reference to guaranty by on behalf of Thus, absent a written guaranty, applicable the Statute of Funds bars Plaintiffs' claims, and they should be dismissed as a matter of law.

II. and are Entitled to Summary Judgment Since Plaintiffs Fail to Produce Evidence in Support of and Fraud Claims and Even if They Had, Their Fraud Claims are Time-Barred

A. Plaintiffs Fail to Produce Evidence in Support of Their Fraud Claims

The "parties are bound by admissions and allegations within their pleadings unless withdrawn, amended, or otherwise altered pursuant to N.C.R. Civ. P. 15." *Webster Enters. v. Selective Ins. Co.*, 125 N.C. App. 36, 41, 479 S.E.2d 243, 247 (1997). "Such judicial admissions have 'the same effect as a jury finding and [are] conclusive upon the parties and the trial judge." *Id.* (internal citation omitted). A contrary position cannot be taken by the pleader thereafter. *Id.*

Here, there is undisputed evidence that ______ \$ _____ to _____ on _____ on _____.

10 This payment was admitted by the Plaintiffs, and it negates the allegation that

¹⁰ Exhibit 4. In fact, Plaintiffs admits that had repaid to them. (See Request No. 5 in Defendants and Requests for Admission.).



produced any tangible evidence that and intended to defraud Plaintiffs in any way. Hence, because the Plaintiffs' fraud claims are unsupported by any material facts, they should be dismissed as a matter of law.

B. Plaintiffs' Fraud Claims are Time-Barred by the Applicable Statute of Limitations

"Ordinarily, the question of whether a cause of action is barred by the statute of limitations is a mixed question of law and fact." *Everts v. Parkinson*, 147 N.C. App. 315, 319, 555 S.E.2d 667, 670 (2001). "However, when the bar is properly pleaded, and the facts are admitted or are not in conflict, the question of whether the action is barred becomes one of law, and summary judgment is appropriate." *Id.* And according to the applicable statute of limitations, N.C.G.S. §1-52(9), fraud claims made after three years are time-barred. *See* N.C.G.S. §1-52(9); *Guyton v. FM Lending Servs.*, 199 N.C. App. 30, 35, 681 S.E.2d 465, 471 (2009). The applicable period only begins to run once a plaintiff discovers such fraud. *Guyton*, 199 N.C. App. at 35.

¹¹ Complaint ¶18.

¹² *Id.* at ¶17.



Yet, they only filed their complaint in Hence, as with their breach of contract claims, their fraud claims are time-barred, and therefore, their claims should be dismissed as a matter of law.

matter of law.	
CONCLUSIO	<u>ON</u>
For the foregoing reasons, Defendants,	and respectfully request this
Court grant their Motion for Summary Judgment.	
This the day of	
	, PLLC
By:	
	N.C. State Bar
	N.C. State Bar
	Attorneys for Defendants,

LLC and



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The	undersigned	hereby certifies	that the	foregoing	BRIEF	IN SUPPOR	Γ OF
DEFENDA	NTS	LLC	AND			MOTION	FOR
SUMMARY	JUD <mark>GMEN</mark>	T was served upo	on all parti	es by facsin	nile and b	y depositing a c	opy of
the same in	an official de	pository of the Ur	nited States	s Postal Ser	vice in a	postage-paid en	velope
addressed to	•	•			•		•
		Telephone:					
		Facsimile:					
		Attorney for I	Plaintiffs				
		<i>J</i>	- ·····JJ ~				
This	the da	y of					
1113	the are	.y 01	•				
			_				